

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/717,856	11/19/2003	Eric Mics	V8998-6 9502		
7590	05/11/2005		EXAMI	NER	
GLEN E. BOOKS, ESQ. LOWENSTEIN SANDLER PC			HOFFMANN, JOHN M		
65 LIVINGSTON AVENUE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ROSELAND, NJ 07068			1731		

DATE MAILED: 05/11/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/717,856	MICS ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
		•

	John Hoffmann	1731	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>05 April 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in completion following time periods:</li> </ol>	wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	iffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (	ence, which CFR 41.31; or
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of	late of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(F).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI ).	f the final rejection. IRST REPLY WAS FILE	D WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comform of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any estimates Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)	), to avoid dismissal of	of the appeal.
3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	nsideration and/or search (see NC w);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		•	
NOTE: <i>The new issues whether any or all of eacl allowable</i> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	h and every change of claims 1, 7,	11, 13-17, 21 would i	make the claims
<ul> <li>4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.15.</li> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> </ul>		ompliant Amendmen	(PTOL-324).
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	• •	e, timely filed amendn	nent canceling
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		vill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-27</u> .		•	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	ivit or other evidence	is necessary
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apper ry and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	nils to provide a (1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered by <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>		. ///	ance because:
<ul><li>12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li><li>13.  Other:</li></ul>	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	ohn Hoffmann Primate Examiner	5-9-05
		Art Unit: 1/31	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The amendment was not entered. The arguments regarding the end of the fiber do not appear to be relevant because they are directed to one of Okude's irrelevant embodiments. The only relevent embodiment is the embodiment that the rejection is based on (see Final Rejection). Since Applicant has not pointed out how the claims fail to define over the relevant Okude embodiment, it is deemed that APplicant agrees that the claims are anticipated. As to "new and advantageous use" first Examiner is unaware as to the relevance to this (i.e. if such could overcome the prima facie case of obviousness, applicant should cite legal basis as to how such is a relevant secondary consideration); second, evidence to support the assertion, assertions can not take the place of evidence.